UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,794	06/25/2003	Syed F.A. Hossainy	50623.221	3469
Cameron Kerrig	7590 11/24/200 gan	EXAMINER		
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. Suite 300 One Maritime Plaza San Francisco, CA 94111-3492			SELLMAN, CACHET I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/603,794	HOSSAINY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CACHET I. SELLMAN	1792			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 2-29 and 32-35 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) 13-29 and 32-35 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of th	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/7/2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/603,794 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 08/07/2008 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 10/856,984 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 10 has the limitation that the stand deviation of the mean release rate of the active agent in a 24 hour period is lower than the standard deviation of the mean release rate for a group of devices which have not been exposed to the temperature. The specification enables one to expose the polymer coating to a temperature which is above the glass transition temperature in order to decrease the variance of release rates for a group of stents. However, the specification does not provide support for exposing the coated stents to any temperature above ambient temperature in order to

decrease the variance of release rates for a group of stents. The temperature is dependent upon the polymer used within the coating.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-7, and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hossainy et al. (US 6153252).

Hossainy et al. discloses a process for applying a coating to a stent. The process comprises applying a composition having a polymer and a solvent (see col. 4,line 1 – col. 6, line 67); allowing the solvent to evaporate (see Example 1). The polymer applied in Example 1 is a copolymer of 45:55 e-caprolactone and glycolide which has a glass transition temperature of -8 C and a melting point temperature of 65°C as evidence, see US patent 5,468,253 (examples 3-5) which was determined using DSC. The composition after being applied to the stent is air dried for 12 hours followed by heating in a 60°C vacuum oven for 24 hours.

Hossainy et al. does not explicitly teach that a dry coating having less than about 2% residual fluid content is heated to a temperature greater than ambient temperature for a duration of time. However, Hossainy et al. does teach heating the composition on the stent until it is completely dry therefore it would have been obvious that at some

Application/Control Number: 10/603,794

Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

point in the drying period the coating reached a point where it comprised less than 2 % residual fluid and was continually heated until it was completely dry having no residual fluid therefore the limitations of **claims 10, 11** are met. In regards to the limitation that the standard deviation of the mean release of the active agent in a 24 hour period is lower than the standard deviation of the mean release of a group of devices which have not been exposed to the temperature. According to the specification, devices which have been exposed to a temperature greater than the glass transition temperature of the polymer used in the coating would result in the standard deviation of the mean release rate of the active agent to be lower than that of a group of devices which were not exposed to such temperature. Therefore, the devices of Hossainy et al. would inherently have a lower standard deviation of mean release rates (see paragraph 0042 of Published Application).

As shown in example 1, a primer layer is applied to the stent followed by a reservoir layer having the active agent as required by **claim 2**. Hossiany et al. teaches a top coat can be applied to prevent release of the active agent (see col. 7, line 18-20) as required by **claim 3**. The device is a stent as required by **claim 4**. The active agent does not degrade when exposed to the temperature as required by **claim 6**. The drying is a rapid process so as to not diffuse the drug into the coating (see col. 9, lines 18-20) as required by **claim 7**. The active agent can be rapamycin (see col. 8, line 31) as required by **claim 12**.

6. Claims 2, 8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hossainy et al. (US 645137).

Hossiany et al. teaches a process for applying a composition having a therapeutic substance, a fluid and a polymer to a prosthesis and removing the fluid (see abstract, col. 5, line 49). The polymer can be bioabsorbable polymers such as poly-D, L-lactic acid, polycaprolactone, etc or biostable polymers such as polyethylene vinyl acetate, ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymers (see col. 5, line 49 - col. 6, line 13 and Examples). The fluid/solvent is removed by exposing to heat at 60 - 65°C under vacuum conditions.

Hossainy et al. does not explicitly teach that a dry coating having less than about 2% residual fluid content is heated to a temperature greater than ambient temperature for a duration of time. However, Hossainy et al. does teach heating the composition on the stent until it is completely dry therefore it would have been obvious that at some point in the drying period the coating reached a point where it comprised less than 2 % residual fluid and was continually heated until it was completely dry having no residual fluid therefore the limitations of claims 10 and 11 are met. The polymer can be ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer which when heated to 60-65oC is greater than the glass transition temperature. In regards to the limitation that the standard deviation of the mean release of the active agent in a 24 hour period is lower than the standard deviation of the mean release of a group of devices which have not been exposed to the temperature. According to the specification, devices which have been exposed to a temperature greater than the glass transition temperature of the polymer used in the

coating would result in the standard deviation of the mean release rate of the active agent to be lower than that of a group of devices which were not exposed to such temperature. Therefore, the devices of Hossainy et al. would inherently have a lower standard deviation of mean release rates (see paragraph 0042 of Published Application).

The polymer can be ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer, ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer as required by **claims 8**.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 13-29 and 32-35 are allowed.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art discloses applying a composition to a stent where the composition includes a polymer and solvent, removing the solvent from the coating and exposing the coating to a temperature which is greater than the glass transition temperature of the polymer. However, the prior art fails to state that the polymer is semicrystalline and has a percent crystallinity of 40 75 %.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CACHET I. SELLMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-0691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 - 4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,794 Page 7

Art Unit: 1792

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cachet I Sellman Examiner Art Unit 1792

/C. I. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/William Phillip Fletcher III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792